

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/719,533 | OON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Zachariah Lucas | 1648 | |

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Clifford J. Mass.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to indicate that the application would be in condition for allowance upon amendment of the application to include the address of the depository as required under 37 CFR 1.809(d)(4), and to amend claim 1 to clarify that the claimed virus may be alternatively from any one of the indicated deposit accession numbers. The Applicant agreed to the amendments, and indicated that an Examiner's amendment would be acceptable. .